



AUSTRALIA

EMBARGO: 11.30AM (CANBERRA TIME) FRI 29 MAY 2009

# CONTENTS

	page
Notes	2
Summary of findings	3

#### TABLES

List of tables and maps	 10
List of tables and maps	 T,

#### ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Explanatory notes	22
Abbreviations	24
Appendix - Geographic regions	25

#### INQUIRIES

For further information about these and related statistics, contact the National Information and Referral Service on 1300 135 070.

#### NOTES

#### ABOUT THIS PUBLICATION

This publication presents information on agricultural land management practices. Data were collected in the 2007–08 Agricultural Resource Management Survey (ARMS) conducted by the ABS.

The publication and related datacubes provide important information on a variety of management practices of Australian agricultural businesses, including cropping and pasture management, soil management, fertiliser use, surface water and effluent management, natural environment conservation protection and farm management.

The estimates in this publication provide benchmark data on resource management practices for the Australian Government's Caring for our Country program. This program is a Commonwealth government initiative that seeks to achieve an environment that is healthy, better protected, well managed and resilient, and provides essential ecosystem services in a changing climate.

Also presented in the datacubes attached to this publication are final data on adverse seasonal conditions experienced in 2007–08, and the farm management responses found effective in dealing with these adverse seasonal conditions. These data replace the preliminary data released in *Principal Agricultural Commodities, Australia, Preliminary, 2007–08* (cat. no. 7111.0).

Data are published at the Australian, State and regional (Natural Resource Management region) levels in this publication and related datacubes.

Complementary data collected from the 2007–08 ARMS can be found in *Water Use on Australian Farms, 2007–08* (cat. no. 4618.0) and *Agricultural Commodities, Australia, 2007–08* (cat. no. 7121.0).

Funding for the land management component of the 2007–08 ARMS was provided by the Australian Government via the Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry and the Department of the Environment, Water, Heritage and the Arts.

The ABS welcomes feedback on this publication in terms of its relevance, usefulness, quality and the range of data presented. Please direct feedback to the National Information and Referral Service on 1300 135 070 or to: The Director, Environment and Agriculture Business Statistics Centre, Australian Bureau of Statistics, GPO Box 66, Hobart TAS 7001. Alternatively, email <adrian.bugg@abs.gov.au> or phone (03) 6222 5850.

Brian Pink Australian Statistician

### SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

LAND USE AND AGRICULTURAL ACTIVITY	In 2007–08, approximately 54% of Australia's total land area was managed by agricultural businesses. On a state basis, the lowest proportion of land managed by agricultural businesses was in Tasmania (23% of state area) while the highest was in Queensland (82% of state area).
	Grazing land accounted for 87% of land managed by agricultural businesses. This included both grazing on improved pasture (16% of agricultural land use) and other grazing land (71% of agricultural land use). Other agricultural land use included 8% for cropping. Land on agricultural holdings that was not used for agriculture included 2% set aside for conservation. Grazing on improved pasture was lowest in the Northern Territory at 3% and highest in Tasmania at 49%. Areas used for grazing on land other than improved pasture ranged from 12% of agricultural land in Victoria to 93% of agricultural land in the Northern Territory. The percentage of agricultural land used for crops ranged from less than 1% in the Northern Territory to 38% in Victoria.
	In 2007–08, the majority of agricultural businesses were engaged in grazing activities (69%). This was evident across all states, except South Australia and Western Australia.
	Half of all agricultural businesses in Australia were engaged in cropping activities. Cropping was more common in Western Australia and Tasmania, with 68% and 65% respectively.
	While relatively few agricultural businesses were engaged in horticulture on a national basis (17% of all agricultural businesses), almost half of the agricultural businesses in the Northern Territory reported that they had undertaken horticultural activities, mainly fruit and nut production.
LAND MANAGEMENT PRACTICES	The most common land management practices undertaken by agricultural businesses in 2007–08 were surface water management (74% of agricultural businesses), application of fertiliser (62%) and monitoring ground cover in paddocks (54%). Overall, 63% of all agricultural businesses reported making one or more land management changes over the last five years to address land and soil related problems on their holding. This was reflected in all states and territories except the Northern Territory where fewer agricultural businesses (46%) reported changes to land management practices.
PROTECTING THE NATURAL ENVIRONMENT FOR CONSERVATION PURPOSES	In 2007–08, 66% of all agricultural businesses reported having native vegetation on their holding and just over half of these protected their native vegetation for conservation purposes. Similarly, more than half of all agricultural businesses reported rivers or creeks on their holding with 49% of these protecting their river or creek banks for conservation purposes. Wetlands were reported by 10% of all agricultural businesses with just under half of these businesses reporting that they had protected these wetlands for conservation purposes.
TILLAGE & FALLOW LAND	In 2007–08, 75 thousand agricultural businesses prepared land for crops or pastures. Most of these undertook two cultivation passes or less with only 14 thousand reporting three or more cultivations. Of agricultural businesses preparing land for crops or pastures, 40 thousand (53%) reported using zero–tillage.

# SUMMARY OF FINDINGS continued

TILLAGE & FALLOW LAND <i>continued</i>	Nationally 17 million hectares was prepared using zero-till compared with 9 million hectares prepared using one or more cultivation passes. Western Australia performed zero-till on the largest area of land prepared for crops and/pastures (6 million hectares) and the Northern Territory the smallest area (8 thousand hectares).					
	Of Australia's cropping land left fallow, 22 million hectares was left fallow for 3 to 9 months. New South Wales accounted for a third of the 2 million hectares left fallow for more than 9 months.					
CROP RESIDUE	Of all agricultural businesses managing crop residue, the main crop residue management practices undertaken were to leave stubble intact (43%), removal of crop residue by baling or heavy grazing (34%) and ploughing crop residue into the soil (33%). These management practices were used on 90% of all land managed for crop residue in 2007–08.					
	Although most states and territories followed the national trend, nearly two thirds of agricultural businesses in Western Australia that managed crop residue on their land left stubble intact (63%), while only 14% ploughed crop residue into the soil. In Queensland, the most common crop residue management practice undertaken was to plough crop residue into the soil (53% of agricultural businesses managing crop residue).					
GROUND COVER	Of agricultural businesses grazing livestock on crops or pasture, 69% monitor the amount of ground cover in paddocks and 57% of these have established a minimum ground cover level target. Queensland reported the highest proportion (65%) of agricultural businesses with a target for minimum ground cover levels, while in the Northern Territory only 33% reported minimum ground cover targets.					
	By far the most common method undertaken by agricultural businesses for monitoring ground cover was visual estimates, with 96% reporting using this method. This proportion was generally reflected in all states except the Northern Territory where 17% reported using photo monitoring standards (comparison with photos of known ground cover levels) to monitor ground cover.					
FERTILISER USAGE	A large proportion (62%) of agricultural businesses in Australia applied fertiliser to their holding between 1 July 2007 and 30 June 2008. The highest percentage of fertiliser use by agricultural businesses was reported in Western Australia (79%) and the lowest was reported in Queensland (44%).					
	The most common types of fertiliser used were urea and single superphosphate (both used by approximately 32% of all agricultural businesses reporting fertiliser use). However, the type of fertiliser used varied between different states and territories. In Queensland, agricultural businesses reporting fertiliser use had a higher usage of urea (50%) and other manufactured fertilisers (44%), while only 7% reported using single superphosphate. The use of other manufactured fertilisers was also common in the Northern Territory (53%), Western Australia (51%) and Tasmania (41%).					
	Nationally, the average application rates for manufactured fertilisers ranged between 0.07 and 0.14 tonnes per hectare. The application rate for animal manure was 3.19 tonnes per hectare.					

#### SUMMARY OF FINDINGS continued

SOIL ACIDITY

In 2007–08, 17% of all agricultural businesses reported undertaking activities to prevent or manage soil acidity. The highest proportion of agricultural businesses managing soil acidity were in Tasmania (33%), Western Australia (27%) and Victoria (21%).

The most common activities to manage soil acidity were the application of lime and dolomite. Victoria and Western Australia reported the highest proportion of agricultural businesses managing soil acidity by applying lime (86% and 85% respectively). Tasmania reported the highest proportion of agricultural businesses applying dolomite to prevent or manage soil acidity (41%).

While the application of soil conditioners was the dominant activity undertaken to prevent or manage soil acidity, 15% of agricultural businesses managing soil acidity reported changing the type of fertiliser used and 8% used other methods.

# MANAGEMENT PRACTICES UNDERTAKEN IN NRM REGIONS

Preparing land for crops or pastures<sup>1</sup>

Map 1 shows the most common land preparation practice reported by agricultural businesses that prepared land for crops and pastures in 2007–08. In this case, the most common practice refers to the practice that is reported by the largest number of agricultural businesses within a Natural Resource Management (NRM) region. Common practices are not shown for NRM regions where fewer than 35% of agricultural businesses reported preparing land for crops and pastures.

The use of no cultivation (zero-till) or one or two cultivation passes are the most common practices in most NRM regions. Three or more cultivation passes is the predominant practice in only a few NRM regions located in Queensland. There are fewer than 35% of agricultural businesses preparing land for crops and pastures across most of central and northern Australia as well as coastal NSW and Victoria.



Fewer than 35% of agricultural businesses prepared land

Undertaking stubble management<sup>2</sup>

Map 2 shows the most common stubble management practice reported by agricultural businesses that prepared land for crops and pasture in 2007–08.

In a number of NRM regions, in New South Wales, Queensland, Western Australia, Victoria and South Australia, the predominant practice is for stubble to be left intact. There are several regions in Queensland and Tasmania where the most common practice is for stubble to be ploughed into the soil. Baling or heavy grazing is predominant in several NRM regions in South Australia and Victoria.





Not for publication

Minimum ground cover targets<sup>3</sup>

Map 3 shows the average minimum ground cover target for agricultural businesses reporting a ground cover target. The map only shows NRM regions where 60% or more agricultural businesses reported grazing on crops or pastures. There are no NRM regions where the average minimum ground cover target is below 40%.

The map shows that the highest average ground cover targets (in excess of 80%) were reported in southern and eastern Australia. The average ground cover target was lower for the larger arid and semi–arid NRM regions in central and northern Australia. NRM regions in the grain and beef/sheep production zone around western Queensland, north–western New South Wales and northern South Australia reported average ground cover targets between 40% and 60%.



![](_page_7_Figure_5.jpeg)

Managing soil acidity with lime or dolomite  $^4$ 

Map 4 shows agricultural businesses applying lime or dolomite as a percentage of agricultural businesses reporting undertaking activities to prevent or manage soil acidity. The application of soil conditioners (specifically lime and dolomite) is the most common method of preventing and managing soil acidification.

The highest percentage of agricultural businesses applying lime or dolomite in 2007–08 occurred in parts of southern Australia, particularly in Tasmania, South Australia, Western Australia and Victoria. These areas are more prone to soil acidity problems and therefore the use of lime or dolomite to manage these problems is more common. A lower percentage of agricultural businesses managing soil acidity applied lime or dolomite in the inland regions of Western Australia, South Australia, New South Wales and Queensland.

**4** AGRICULTURAL BUSINESSES APPLYING LIME OR DOLOMITE TO PREVENT OR MANAGE SOIL ACIDITY IN 2007–08

![](_page_8_Figure_5.jpeg)

# LIST OF TABLES AND MAPS

LAND USE AND AGRICULTURAL ACTIVITY

1 Land use, by state

. . . . . . . .

TABLES

. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .

						11
						12

page

2 Major agricultural activities, by NRM 12
LAND MANAGEMENT PRACTICES
3 Land management practices, by state 14
PROTECTING THE NATURAL ENVIRONMENT FOR CONSERVATION PURPOSES
4 Land management practices to protect the natural environment, by state 14
TILLAGE AND FALLOW LAND
5 Land preparation for crops and pastures, by state 15
6 Cropping land fallow period, by state 16
CROP RESIDUE
7 Crop residue management practices, by state
GROUND COVER
8 Ground cover monitoring, by state
FERTILISER USAGE
9 Fertiliser use, by state
10 Fertiliser application rates, by state
SOIL ACIDITY
11 Soil acidity management, by state

#### APPENDIX 1 GEOGRAPHIC REGIONS

	NO 25
Natural Resource Management regions, Australia, 2007–0	18

![](_page_10_Picture_0.jpeg)

# LAND USE, by State-2007-08

	NSW(a)	Vic.	Qld	SA	WA	Tas.	NT	Aust.			
		•••••				•••••	••••	• • • • • • •			
AGRICULIURAL BUSINESSES AND LAND AREA											
Agricultural businesses (no.)	44 521	34 177	29 121	14 996	13 084	4 200	605	140 704			
Area of agricultural holdings ('000 ha)	58 154	12 536	141 058	47 076	93 035	1 541	^ 63 888	417 288			
Total land area ('000 ha)(b)(c)	80 064	22 742	173 065	98 348	252 988	6 840	134 913	769 202			
	•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••		• • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • •			
LAND USE	ON AGR	ICULIU	RAL HOLI	JINGS (	%)(d)						
Land for agricultural production	407	07.0	0.4	44.0	40 7	- 4	0.0.1	0.0			
Crops Grazing	16.7	37.8	2.4	11.6	10.7	7.4	~0.1	8.0			
Improved pasture	26.0	43.1	23.0	9.2	^ 6.8	48.6	*3.3	15.9			
Other grazing land	52.3	12.0	71.0	74.5	75.5	25.2	93.3	71.3			
Forestry plantation	*0.2	*0.5	*0.1	*	*0.1	3.4	**	^ 0.1			
Other agricultural purposes	*0.1	*0.5	0.1	*0.1	*	^ 0.4	*	^ 0.1			
Land not for agricultural production											
Land set aside for conservation/protection	<u>^</u>	2.7	0.1	2.0	1.0	0.7	<u>^</u>	2.2			
Other land not used for agricultural production	2.9	3.7 2.4	2.1 ^1.4	3.8 0.8	1.9 **5.0	9.7 ^ 5.2	0.6 **2.7	2.2 *2.5			
	2.0			0.0	0.0	0.2		2.0			
$\Delta$ estimate has a volative standard even of $100$ / to leas the		•••••		• • • • • • • • •							
should be used with caution	idii 20% dhu	(c (h	a) Total land	Includes AUL.							
<ul> <li>* estimate has a relative standard error of 25% to 50% a</li> </ul>	ind should be	(*	agricultur	al land. Sou	rce: Geoscienc	e Australia	(2005) GEOD	ATA 100k			
used with caution			Coastline	database, 1	.993.						
** estimate has a relative standard error greater than 50%	6 and is	(0	c) Total area	a of Australia	includes Jervi	s Bay.					
considered too unreliable for general use		(c	d) Land use	areas as a p	percentage of a	area of agri	cultural holdin	gs.			
<ul> <li>— nil or rounded to zero (including null cells)</li> </ul>											

# AGRICULTURAL BUSINESSES(a)

	Total	Cropping	Horticulture	Grazing(b)
	no.	no.	no.	no.
Australia	140 704	69 859	23 625	97 025
NSW(c)	44 521	18 621	6 198	32 947
Border Rivers-Gwydir	3 215	1 437	*84	2 757
Central West	5 880	3 457	^ 490	5 069
Hawkesbury-Nepean	2 977	^ 393	1 224	1 472
Hunter-Central Rivers	4 224	^ 822	^ 597	3 211
Lachlan	5 107	3 528	^ 200	3 797
Lower Murray Darling	606	^ 68	378	221
Murray	2 973	2 156	^ 179	2 185
Murrumbidgee(c)	5 863	3 166	974	3 809
Namoi	3 151	1 686	*98	2 517
Northern Rivers	7 607	1 312	1 698	5 555
Southern Rivers	2 060	^ 476	^ 172	1 756
Sydney Metro	^ 214	*15	^ 99	*43
Western	643	^ 105	^6	555
Vic.	34 177	20.070	4 864	24 342
Corangamite	3 306	2 160	^ 322	2 771
Fast Ginnsland	918	^ 376	^ 75	802
Glenely Honkins	4 1 4 7	3 033	*101	3 780
Goulburn Broken	5 209	2 606	^ 635	3 641
Mallee	2 5 9 1	1 105	1 390	^ 51 <i>4</i>
North Central	4 625	3 021	^ 596	3 1/1
North East (Vic.)	2 6 8 6	1 240	^ 200	2 276
Port Phillip and Westernport	2 080	1 240	1 226	2 210
West Cippeland	4 307	2 920	1 230 ^ 170	2 400
Wimmora	4 032	2 030	*29	1 204
	2 290	T 939	56	T 294
Qld	29 121	10 831	4 433	19 213
Border Rivers Maranoa–Balonne	2 715	1 008	^ 306	2 187
Burdekin	1 760	657	^ 352	879
Burnett Mary	5 143	1 622	833	3 567
Cape York	57	^ 11	^ 13	41
Condamine	4 198	2 597	^ 131	2 932
Desert Channels	774	21	—	744
Fitzroy	3 721	874	^ 194	3 215
Mackay Whitsunday	1 564	1 191	*82	^ 595
Northern Gulf	445	^ 114	173	269
South East (Qld)	4 972	1 206	1 480	2 933
South West (Qld)	558	^ 12	**14	532
Southern Gulf	411	^ 24	—	391
Torres Strait	_	—	—	—
Wet Tropics	2 801	1 494	855	930
SA	14 996	8 641	4 331	8 203
Eyre Peninsula	1 413	1 281	^9	879
Kangaroo Island	307	218	^ 22	277
Adelaide and Mount Lofty Ranges	3 137	1 047	1 693	1 166
Northern and Yorke	2 984	2 466	^ 313	1 645
SA Arid Lands(d)	142	6	*1	134
SA Murray Darling Basin	4 269	1 817	1 919	1 816
South East (SA)	2 745	1 805	374	2 286

^  $\,$  estimate has a relative standard error of 10% to less than 25% and should be used with caution

\* estimate has a relative standard error of 25% to 50% and should be used with caution

\*\* estimate has a relative standard error greater than 50% and is considered too unreliable for general use

— nil or rounded to zero (including null cells)

(a) Many agricultural businesses undertake more than one major agricultural activity. Therefore the sum of the agricultural businesses undertaking major agricultural activities may exceed the total number of agricultural businesses.

(b) Includes cattle and sheep grazing only.

(c) Includes ACT.

(d) Includes Alinytjara Wilurara

#### AGRICULTURAL BUSINESSES(a) Total Cropping Horticulture Grazing(b) no. no. no. no. Australia cont. 140 704 69 859 23 625 97 025 13 084 8 840 2 395 8 663 WA \*46 1 705 Avon 2 668 2 486 Northern Agricultural \*43 871 1 327 1 125 Rangelands (WA) 560 ^ 60 233 285 ^ 181 2 341 1 724 1 848 South Coast 2 950 1 097 South West 4 583 3 373 Swan 1 606 494 796 581 4 200 2 730 1 105 3 389 Tas. North (Tas.) 1 523 1 319 1 127 ^ 319 1 050 North West (Tas.) 1 461 360 1 216 South (Tas.) 1 216 552 427 854 605 ^ 126 298 268 NT Northern Territory 605 ^ 126 298 268

estimate has a relative standard error of 10% to less than 25% and should be used with caution

estimate has a relative standard error of 25% to 50% and should be used with caution

(a) Many agricultural businesses undertake more than one major agricultural activity. Therefore the sum of the agricultural businesses undertaking major agricultural activities may exceed the total number of agricultural businesses.

Includes cattle and sheep grazing only. (b)

	NSW(a)	Vic.	Qld	SA	WA	Tas.	NT	Aust.
CHANGES TO LAND MAN	AGEMEN	T PRAC	TICES I	N LAST	5 YEARS			
Agricultural businesses (no.)	44 521	34 177	29 121	14 996	13 084	4 200	605	140 704
Made one or more management changes in last 5 years (no.)	28 598	21 534	18 845	8 951	8 172	2 489	^ 278	88 867
Made one or more management changes in last 5 years (%)	64.2	63.0	64.7	59.7	62.5	59.3	46.0	63.2
LAND MANAGEMENT PR	ACTICES	UNDER	TAKEN,	2007-0	<b>)8</b> (%)(b)			
Cultivation undertaken	33.8	36.6	42.7	36.2	33.4	44.1	^ 21.4	36.8
Crop residue modified or removed	27.2	28.6	30.2	32.5	30.9	28.0	*10.5	29.0
Activities to manage soil acidity	15.2	21.3	11.4	8.2	27.2	32.7	^ 13.1	16.8
Activities to manage soil salinity	7.5	8.5	4.0	12.7	16.5	^ 5.5	*4.7	8.3
Applied fertiliser to holding	58.0	67.8	44.3	73.9	79.2	74.3	57.2	61.7
Monitored ground cover in paddocks	59.3	53.5	53.2	45.7	54.5	50.9	^ 35.5	54.4
Surface water management	78.8	67.8	81.8	59.1	79.4	70.6	63.5	74.4
Intensive effluent management	6.0	16.9	6.1	5.7	4.6	13.1	*4.1	8.7
^ estimate has a relative standard error of 10% to less than 25% and	d should	(a) Incl	udes ACT.					

be used with caution
 \* estimate has a relative standard error of 25% to 50% and should be used with caution

(b) Land management practices undertaken as a percentage of total agricultural businesses.

LAND MANAGEMENT PRACTICES TO PROTECT THE NATURAL ENVIRONMENT, by State-2007-08

		NSW(a)	Vic.	Qld	SA	WA	Tas.	NT	Aust.	
• • • •	PROTECTI	ON OF THE I	NATURA	AL ENVIF	RONMEN	• • • • • • • • •			• • • • • • •	
Agric	ultural businesses (no.)									
A	gricultural businesses	44 521	34 177	29 121	14 996	13 084	4 200	605	140 704	
N	ative vegetation on holding	30 556	18 064	21 476	9 020	9 964	2 621	464	92 164	
W	etlands on holding	3 847	3 504	2 543	1 634	2 302	^ 463	^ 176	14 470	
R	ivers or creeks on holding	26 257	14 215	17 555	4 735	7 394	2 926	^ 268	73 351	
Unde are	ertook activities to protect natural environme eas (%)	nt								
P	rotected native vegetation(b)	45.3	56.4	48.3	57.6	63.5	49.3	^ 49.1	51.5	
P	rotected wetland areas(c)	38.8	45.4	41.8	44.5	55.8	^ 51.7	^ 44.3	44.7	
P	rotected river and creek banks(d)	42.7	59.1	51.4	39.4	53.6	50.2	^ 33.8	49.1	
^	estimate has a relative standard error of 10% to less	than 25% and	(c)	Number of ag	gricultural b	usinesses re	porting prot	ection of w	etlands on	
	should be used with caution		I	holding as a	percentage	of agricultur	al businesse	es reporting	gwetlands	
(a)	i) Includes ACT.			on holding.						
(b)	Number of agricultural businesses reporting protectio	n of native	(d)	Number of agricultural businesses reporting protection of river or creek						
	vegetation on holding as a percentage of agricultural	businesses		banks on holding as a percentage of agricultural businesses reporting						
reporting native vegetation on holding. rivers or creeks on holding.										

### LAND PREPARATION FOR CROPS AND PASTURES, by State-2007-08

	NSW(a)	Vic.	Qld	SA	WA	Tas.	NT	Australia
AGRICULTUR	AL BUSIN	IESSES	AND LAN	ND AREA		• • • • • •		
Agricultural businesses (no.)	44 521	34 177	29 121	14 996	13 084	4 200	605	140 704
Agricultural businesses preparing land for crops and pastures (no.)	23 072	17 761	14 308	9 055	8 618	2 135	^ 205	75 154
ha)	7 788.9	4 019.8	2 697.8	4 346.2	7 969.1	94.7	*18.7	26 935.2
LAND PREPAR	RED FOR	CROPS /	AND PAS	TURES (I	)			
Agricultural businesses preparing land (no.)								
No cultivation	13 544	9 480	4 538	5 474	5 708	^ 659	^ 103	39 505
One or two cultivations only	12 722	10 565	7 622	4 952	4 025	1 237	^ 107	41 231
Three or more cultivations	3 309	2 783	5 813	^ 644	^ 411	914	*24	13 899
Area prepared ('000 ha)								
No cultivation	4 460.8	2 523.3	1 257.6	2 890.2	6 313.7	^ 26.5	*8.0	17 480.3
One or two cultivations only	2 820.0	1 202.2	1 013.7	1 388.1	1 614.3	37.1	**9.9	8 085.3
Three or more cultivations	508.1	^ 294.3	426.4	^ 67.8	^ 41.1	31.1	*0.8	1 369.6
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • •		• • • • • • •	• • • • • • • •	• • • • • •	• • • • • • •	• • • • • • •
^ estimate has a relative standard error of 10% to less than 2	5% and	(a) In	cludes ACT.					
should be used with caution		(b) So	ome agricultu	ural business	es undertake	e different c	ultivation p	practices on

 estimate has a relative standard error of 25% to 50% and should be used with caution

Some agricultural businesses undertake different cultivation practices on different parts of their land. Therefore the sum of the land preparation practices may exceed the number of agricultural businesses preparing land for crops and pastures.

\* estimate has a relative standard error greater than 50% and is considered too unreliable for general use

	NSW(a)	Vic.	Qld	SA	WA	Tas.	NT	Aust.
AGRICULTU	RAL BUSI	NESSES	AND LAN	ID AREA				
Agricultural businesses (no.)	44 521	34 177	29 121	14 996	13 084	4 200	605	140 704
Agricultural businesses leaving cropping land fallow between crops (no.)	16 774	13 069	10 823	7 460	7 355	1 434	^ 120	57 036
ha)(b)	7 427.0	3 874.7	2 366.8	4 290.6	7 804.2	67.4	*12.6	25 843.2
CROPE	PING LAND	) FALLOV	V PERIO	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••				
Agricultural husinesses with cronning land fallow (no.)				(-)				
Less than 3 months	3 643	3 139	2 157	847	604	829	*30	11 250
3 to 9 months	12 749	9 724	7 117	6 518	6 369	np	np	43 193
More than 9 months	2 450	^1261	3 480	^ 543	771	np	np	8 682
Area of cropping land fallow ('000 ha)								
Less than 3 months	538.5	^ 439.8	193.3	^ 387.9	^ 369.2	37.6	*1.3	1 967.6
3 to 9 months	6 172.1	3 237.9	1 542.3	3 729.4	7 061.8	np	np	21 782.0
More than 9 months	716.5	^ 197.0	631.3	^ 173.3	373.1	np	np	2 093.6
^ estimate has a relative standard error of 10% to less than	25% and	(b) E	cludes areas	under pastu	e.			
should be used with caution		(c) S	ome agricultu	ral businesse	s may fallow	different	sections of	land for

 estimate has a relative standard error of 25% to 50% and should be used with caution different periods of time. Therefore the sum of the number of agricultural businesses reporting specific land fallow periods may exceed the number of agricultural businesses leaving land fallow between crops.

np not available for publication but included in totals where applicable, unless otherwise indicated

(a) Includes ACT.

. . . . . . . .

# CROP RESIDUE MANAGEMENT PRACTICES, by State-2007-08

	NSW(a)	Vic.	Old	SA	WA	Tas.	NT	Aust.
	- (-)							
۸۵				•••••		• • • • • • •		
A0		VAL DU.	JINLOOLU	)				
Agricultural businesses (no.)	44 521	34 177	29 121	14 996	13 084	4 200	605	140 704
Agricultural businesses managing crop residue (no.)	16 525	12 243	10 682	7 332	7 055	1 283	^ 99	55 219
CROP RESIDUE I	MANAGEM	ENT PF	ACTICES	USED (%	)(b)(c)			
Stubble was left intact (no cultivation)	44.8	37.1	32.0	50.0	62.5	^ 16.8	*37.5	42.9
Most stubble or trash removed by baling or heavy grazing	35.8	44.2	16.9	38.9	29.2	np	np	33.6
Stubble or trash removed by a hot burn (early season)	^ 3.2	^ 2.9	^ 4.5	*2.1	^ 6.6	np	np	3.8
Stubble or trash removed by a cool burn (late season)	5.6	^ 10.1	^ 3.6	^ 8.9	16.1	np	np	8.0
Stubble or trash was ploughed into the soil	35.2	27.4	53.2	21.5	13.7	np	np	32.7
Stubble or trash was mulched	8.8	^ 9.0	19.8	^ 9.7	^ 3.4	np	np	10.5
AREA OF CRC	DP RESIDU	JE MAN	AGEMEN	T ('000 h	a)			
Stubble was left intact (no cultivation)	3 548.6	1 913.1	1 183.5	2 430.7	5 304.6	^ 10.7	**8.4	14 399.6
Most stubble or trash removed by baling or heavy grazing	1 463.8	860.5	160.2	855.8	997.6	np	np	4 358.2
Stubble or trash removed by a hot burn (early season)	^ 53.1	^ 56.5	^ 25.1	^ 14.7	^ 204.7	np	np	^ 356.1
Stubble or trash removed by a cool burn (late season)	^ 102.9	^ 184.7	^ 23.3	^ 72.2	360.1	np	np	745.5
Stubble or trash was ploughed into the soil	1 581.7	436.0	688.7	^ 453.5	387.5	np	np	3 569.9
Stubble or trash was mulched	^ 399.4	^ 266.9	273.7	^ 238.7	^ 106.1	np	np	1 288.9
Total	7 149.5	3 717.7	2 354.5	4 065.5	7 360.6	59.5	**10.9	24 718.2
^ estimate has a relative standard error of 10% to less than 25	% and should	(a)	Includes ACT					
be used with caution		(b)	Number of a	gricultural busir	nesses reporti	ng a specifi	c crop resid	lue
* estimate has a relative standard error of 25% to 50% and sh	ould be used		management	t practice as a	percentage of	agricultura	l businesse	s reporting
with caution			crop residue	management.		5		
** estimate has a relative standard error greater than 50% and	is considered	(c)	Some agricultural businesses undertake more than one crop residue					
too unreliable for general use		. /	management	t practice. Ther	efore the sum	of the crop	o residue m	anagement

np not available for publication but included in totals where applicable, unless otherwise indicated

Some agricultural businesses undertake more than one crop residue management practice. Therefore the sum of the crop residue management practices may exceed the number of agricultural businesses managing crop residue.

# GROUND COVER MONITORING, by State-2007-08

8

AGRICULTURAL BUSINESSES         Agricultural businesses (no.)		NSW(a)	Vic.	Qld	SA	WA	Tas.	NT	Aust.
Agricultural businesses (no.) Agricultural businesses (no.) Main methods for ground cover levels (no.) MAIN METHOD FOR MONITORING GROUND COVER (%) (e) Visual estimates 96.2 np 95.6 97.0 97.9 97.2 np 96.4 Step pointing ^1.2 *0.8 **0.1 *0.3 np np - ^0.7 Photo monitoring standards *0.5 np ^1.6 *0.9 np - *1.6 *0.3 - ^1.0 Other *0.8 ^1.9 *1.2 *0.6 *0.8 np np ^1.2 *1.0 *0.3 - ^1.0 Other *0.8 ^1.9 *1.2 *0.6 *0.8 np np ^1.2 *1.0 *0.3 - ^1.0 Agricultural businesses (no.) * estimate has a relative standard error of 10% to less than 25% and should be used with caution ** * estimate has a relative standard error of 25% to 50% and should be used with caution ** * estimate has a relative standard error of 25% to 50% and should be used with caution ** * estimate has a relative standard error of 25% to 50% and should be used with caution ** * estimate has a relative standard error of 25% to 50% and should be used with caution ** * estimate has a relative standard error of 25% to 50% and should be used with caution ** * estimate has a relative standard error of 25% to 50% and should be (a) Includes ACT. (b) Agricultural businesses with a minimum target for ground cover in paddocks. (c) Agricultural businesses monitoring ground cover in paddocks as a percentage of agricultural businesses monitoring ground cover in paddocks. (c) Agric					• • • • • • •	• • • • • • •	• • • • • • •		• • • • • • •
Agricultural businesses (no.)         Agricultural businesses (no.)         Agricultural businesses (no.)         Agricultural businesses (no.)         Grazed stock on pastures and crops       36 91       27 91       12 1 44       9 846       10 336       3 776       307       110 585         Monitor ground cover in paddocks       26 387       18 268       15 479       6 859       7 132       2 138       ^215       76 479         Have a minimum target for ground cover levels       15 987       9 189       9 986       3 478       3 572       1068       *70       43 351         Agricultural businesses grazing stock (%)       Grazed stock on pastures and crops(b)       83.1       81.7       73.6       65.7       79.0       89.9       50.7       78.6         Monitor ground cover in paddocks(c)       71.3       65.5       72.3       69.7       50.0       ^32.8       56.7         Have a minimum target for ground cover levels(d)       60.6       50.3       64.5       50.7       50.1       50.0       ^32.8       56.7         Visual estimates       96.2       np       91.6       *0.3       np       np       -       ^0.7         Step pointing       ^1.2       *0.8       *1.0 <td< td=""><td>AGF</td><td>RICULIUR</td><td>AL BUS</td><td>SINESSE</td><td>5</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	AGF	RICULIUR	AL BUS	SINESSE	5				
Agricultural businesses       44 521       34 177       29 121       14 996       13 084       4 200       605       140 704         Grazed stock on pastures and crops       36 991       27 911       21 418       9 846       10 336       3 776       307       110 585         Monitor ground cover in paddocks       26 387       18 268       15 479       6 859       7 132       21 38       ^215       ^215       76 479         Have a minimum target for ground cover levels       15 987       9 189       9 986       3 478       3 572       1 068       *70       43 351         Agricultural businesses grazing stock (%)       Grazed stock on pastures and crops(h)       83.1       81.7       73.6       65.7       79.0       89.9       50.7       78.6         Monitor ground cover in paddocks(c)       71.3       65.5       72.3       69.7       50.0       ^32.8       56.7         Have a minimum target for ground cover levels(d)       60.6       50.3       64.5       50.7       50.1       50.0       ^32.8       56.7         Visual estimates       96.2       np       56.6       97.0       97.9       97.2       np       -6.6       70.0         Counting number of plants within a defined area       ^1.	Agricultural businesses (no.)								
Grazed stock on pastures and crops       36 991       27 911       21 418       9 846       10 336       3 776       307       110 585         Monitor ground cover in paddocks       26 387       18 268       15 479       6 859       7 132       2 138       ^215       7 6 479         Have a minimum target for ground cover levels       15 987       9 189       9 986       3 478       3 572       1 068       *70       43 351         Agricultural businesses grazing stock (%)       Grazed stock on pastures and crops(b)       83.1       81.7       73.6       65.7       79.0       89.9       50.7       78.6         Monitor ground cover in paddocks(c)       71.3       65.5       72.3       69.7       50.1       50.0       ^32.8       56.7         Have a minimum target for ground cover levels(d)       60.6       50.3       64.5       50.7       50.1       50.0       ^32.8       56.7         Visual estimates       96.2       np       95.6       97.0       97.9       97.2       np       96.4         Step pointing       ^1.2       *0.8       *<0.1	Agricultural businesses	44 521	34 177	29 121	14 996	13 084	4 200	605	140 704
Monitor ground cover in paddocks26 38718 26815 4796 8597 1322 138^ 2157 6 479Have a minimum target for ground cover levels15 9879 1899 9863 4783 5721 068*7043 351Agricultural businesses grazing stock (%) Grazed stock on pastures and crops(b)83.181.773.665.779.089.950.778.6Monitor ground cover in paddocks(c)71.365.572.369.769.056.670.069.2Have a minimum target for ground cover levels(d)60.650.364.550.750.150.0^ 32.856.7Wisual estimates96.2np95.697.097.997.2np96.4Step pointing^ 1.2*0.8*0.1*0.3npnp-^ 1.0Photo monitoring standards*0.5np^ 1.6*0.9np-*16.8^ 1.0Counting number of plants within a defined area^ 1.2**0.4^ 1.5*1.2*1.0^ 0.3-^ 1.0*estimate has a relative standard error of 10% to less than 25% and should be used with caution(b)Agricultural businesses grazing stock on pastures and crops as a percentage of agricultural businesses grazing stock on pastures and crops as a percentage of agricultural businesses grazing stock on pastures and crops as a percentage of agricultural businesses monitoring ground cover in paddocks as a percentage of agricultural businesses monitoring ground cover in paddocks as a percentage of agricultural businesses monitoring ground cover in padd	Grazed stock on pastures and crops	36 991	27 911	21 418	9 846	10 336	3 776	307	110 585
Have a minimum target for ground cover levels       15 987       9 189       9 986       3 478       3 572       1 068       *70       43 351         Agricultural businesses grazing stock (%)       Grazed stock on pastures and crops(b)       83.1       81.7       73.6       65.7       79.0       89.9       50.7       78.6         Monitor ground cover in paddocks(c)       71.3       65.5       72.3       69.7       69.0       56.6       70.0       69.2         Have a minimum target for ground cover levels(d)       60.6       50.3       64.5       50.7       50.1       50.0       ^32.8       56.7         MAIN METHOD FOR MONITORING GROUND COVER (%) (e)         Visual estimates       96.2       np       95.6       97.0       97.9       97.2       np       96.4         Step pointing       ^1.2       *0.8       **0.1       *0.3       np       np       -       ^0.7         Other       *0.5       np       ^1.6       *0.9       np       np       ^1.2       *0.6       *0.8       np       np       ^1.2         *       estimate has a relative standard error of 10% to less than 25% and should be used with caution       *       *       *1.9       *1.2       *0.6 </td <td>Monitor ground cover in paddocks</td> <td>26 387</td> <td>18 268</td> <td>15 479</td> <td>6 859</td> <td>7 132</td> <td>2 138</td> <td>^ 215</td> <td>76 479</td>	Monitor ground cover in paddocks	26 387	18 268	15 479	6 859	7 132	2 138	^ 215	76 479
Agricultural businesses grazing stock (%)       83.1       81.7       73.6       65.7       79.0       89.9       50.7       78.6         Monitor ground cover in paddocks(c)       71.3       65.5       72.3       69.7       69.0       56.6       70.0       69.2         Have a minimum target for ground cover levels(d)       60.6       50.3       64.5       50.7       50.1       50.0       ^32.8       56.6         MAIN METHOD FOR MONITORING       GROUND COVER (%) (e)         Visual estimates       96.2       np       95.6       97.0       97.9       97.2       np       96.4         Step pointing       ^1.2       *0.8       **0.1       *0.3       np       np       -       ^0.7         Photo monitoring standards       *0.5       np       1.6       *0.9       np       -       16.8       ^0.7         Counting number of plants within a defined area       ^1.2       **0.4       ^1.5       *1.2       *1.0       ^0.3       -       ^1.0         **       estimate has a relative standard error of 10% to less than 25% and should be used with caution       (b)       Agricultural businesses grazing stock on pastures and crops as a percentage of total agricultural businesses       agricultural businesses grazing stock on pastures a	Have a minimum target for ground cover levels	15 987	9 189	9 986	3 478	3 572	1 068	*70	43 351
Grazed stock on pastures and crops(b)       83.1       81.7       73.6       65.7       79.0       89.9       50.7       78.6         Monitor ground cover in paddocks(c)       71.3       65.5       72.3       69.7       69.0       56.6       70.0       69.2         Have a minimum target for ground cover levels(d)       60.6       50.3       64.5       50.7       50.1       50.0       ^32.8       56.7         MAIN METHOD FOR MONITORING GROUND COVER (%) (e)         Visual estimates       96.2       np       95.6       97.0       97.9       97.2       np       96.4         Step pointing       ^1.2       *0.8       *10.1       *0.3       np       np       -       ^0.7         Photo monitoring standards       *0.5       np       ^1.6       *0.9       np       -       *16.8       ^0.7         Counting number of plants within a defined area       ^1.2       **0.4       ^1.5       *1.2       *1.0       ^0.3       -       ^1.0         other       *0.8       ^1.9       *1.2       *0.6       *0.8       np       np       np       ^1.2         *       estimate has a relative standard error of 10% to less than 25% and should be used with caution </td <td>Agricultural businesses grazing stock (%)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Agricultural businesses grazing stock (%)								
Monitor ground cover in paddocks(c)       71.3       65.5       72.3       69.7       69.0       56.6       70.0       69.2         Have a minimum target for ground cover levels(d)       60.6       50.3       64.5       50.7       50.1       50.0       ^32.8       56.7         MAIN METHOD FOR MONITORING GROUND COVER (%) (e)         Visual estimates       96.2       np       95.6       97.0       97.9       97.2       np       96.4         Step pointing       ^11.2       *0.8       **0.1       *0.3       np       np       -       ^0.7         Photo monitoring standards       *0.5       np       ^1.6       *0.9       np       -       *1.6       *0.7         Counting number of plants within a defined area       ^1.2       *0.4       ^1.5       *1.2       *1.0       ^0.3       -       ^1.0         other       *0.8       ^1.9       *1.2       *0.6       *0.8       np       np       np       np       ^1.2         *       estimate has a relative standard error of 10% to less than 25% and should be used with caution       (b)       Agricultural businesses grazing stock on pastures and crops as a percentage of agricultural businesses grazing stock on pastures and crops.       Agricultural businesses gra	Grazed stock on pastures and crops(b)	83.1	81.7	73.6	65.7	79.0	89.9	50.7	78.6
Have a minimum target for ground cover levels(d)       60.6       50.3       64.5       50.7       50.1       50.0       ^32.8       56.7         MAIN METHOD FOR MONITORING       GROUND COVER (%) (e)       Visual estimates       96.2       np       95.6       97.0       97.9       97.2       np       96.4         Step pointing       ^1.2       *0.8       **0.1       *0.3       np       np       -       ^0.7         Photo monitoring standards       *0.5       np       ^1.6       *0.9       np       -       *16.8       ^0.7         Counting number of plants within a defined area       ^1.2       **0.4       ^1.5       *1.2       *1.0       ^0.3       -       ^1.0         Other       *0.8       ^1.9       *1.2       *0.6       *0.8       np       np       np       ^1.2         *       estimate has a relative standard error of 10% to less than 25% and should be used with caution       (b)       Agricultural businesses grazing stock on pastures and crops as a percentage of total agricultural businesses grazing stock on pastures and crops.       as a percentage of agricultural businesses grazing stock on pastures and crops.       (c)       Agricultural businesses with a minimum target for ground cover in paddocks.       as a percentage of agricultural businesses monitoring ground cover as a percentage of agricultural busi	Monitor ground cover in paddocks(c)	71.3	65.5	72.3	69.7	69.0	56.6	70.0	69.2
MAIN METHOD FOR MONITORING       GROUND COVER (%) (e)         Visual estimates       96.2       np       95.6       97.0       97.9       97.2       np       96.4         Step pointing       ^1.2       *0.8       **0.1       *0.3       np       np       —       ^0.7         Photo monitoring standards       *0.5       np       ^1.6       *0.9       np       —       *16.8       ^0.7         Counting number of plants within a defined area       ^1.2       **0.4       ^1.5       *1.2       *1.0       ^0.3       —       ^1.0         Other       *0.8       ^1.9       *1.2       *0.6       *0.8       np       np       np       ^1.2         *       estimate has a relative standard error of 10% to less than 25% and should be used with caution       b       Agricultural businesses grazing stock on pastures and crops as a percentage of total agricultural businesses.         *       estimate has a relative standard error greater than 50% and is considered too unreliable for general use       (d)       Agricultural businesses with a minimum target for ground cover in paddocks as a percentage of agricultural businesses monitoring ground cover in paddocks.         -       nil or rounded to zero (including null cells)       mot available for publication but included in totals where applicable, unless otherwise indicated       (e)       <	Have a minimum target for ground cover levels(d)	60.6	50.3	64.5	50.7	50.1	50.0	^ 32.8	56.7
MAIN METHOD FOR MONITORING       GROUND COVER (%) (e)         Visual estimates       96.2       np       95.6       97.0       97.9       97.2       np       96.4         Step pointing       ^1.2       *0.8       **0.1       *0.3       np       np       -       ^0.7         Photo monitoring standards       *0.5       np       ^1.6       *0.9       np       -       *16.8       ^0.7         Counting number of plants within a defined area       ^1.2       **0.4       ^1.5       *1.2       *1.0       ^0.3       -       ^1.0         Other       *0.8       ^1.9       *1.2       *0.6       *0.8       np       np       np       ^1.2         *       estimate has a relative standard error of 10% to less than 25% and should be used with caution       (b)       Agricultural businesses grazing stock on pastures and crops as a percentage of total agricultural businesses.         *       estimate has a relative standard error greater than 50% and is considered too unreliable for general use       (c)       Agricultural businesses monitoring ground cover in paddocks as a percentage of agricultural businesses monitoring ground cover levels as a percentage of agricultural businesses monitoring ground cover levels as a percentage of agricultural businesses monitoring ground cover in paddocks.         -       nil or onunded to zero (including null cells)									
Visual estimates       96.2       np       95.6       97.0       97.2       np       96.4         Step pointing       1.2       *0.8       ***0.1       *0.3       np       np       —       ^0.7         Photo monitoring standards       *0.5       np       ^1.6       *0.9       np       —       *16.8       ^0.7         Counting number of plants within a defined area       ^1.2       **0.4       ^1.5       *1.2       *1.0       ^0.3       —       ^11.0         Other       *0.8       ^1.9       *1.2       *0.6       *0.8       np       np       ^       ^       1.0       ^       ^       1.0       ^       ^       1.0       ^       ^       1.0       ^       ^       1.0       ^       ^       1.0       ^       1.0       ^       1.0       ^       1.0       ^       1.0       ^       1.2       *0.6       *0.8       np       np       1.2       *0.6       *0.8       np       np       1.2	MAIN METHOD FO	R MONIT	ORING	GROUNE		2 (%) (A)			
Visual estimates       96.2       np       95.6       97.0       97.2       np       96.4         Step pointing       ^1.2       *0.8       **0.1       *0.3       np       np       np       ~       ^0.7         Photo monitoring standards       *0.5       np       ^1.6       *0.9       np       -       *16.8       ^0.7         Counting number of plants within a defined area       ^1.2       **0.4       ^1.5       *1.2       *1.0       ^0.3       -       ^1.0         Other       *0.8       ^1.9       *1.2       *0.6       *0.8       np       np       np       ^1.2         ^       estimate has a relative standard error of 10% to less than 25% and should be used with caution       (b)       Agricultural businesses grazing stock on pastures and crops as a percentage of total agricultural businesses.       apercentage of agricultural businesses grazing stock on pastures and crops as a percentage of agricultural businesses grazing stock on pastures and       and         **       estimate has a relative standard error greater than 50% and is considered too unreliable for general use       (d)       Agricultural businesses with a minimum target for ground cover in paddocks as a percentage of agricultural businesses monitoring ground cover in paddocks.          nil or rounded to zero (including null cells)       as a percentage of agricultural businesses	MAIN METHOD TO		UNING	GROONL	COVLI	( ( /o ) (e)			
Step pointing       ^1.2       *0.8       **0.1       *0.3       np       np       -       ^0.7         Photo monitoring standards       *0.5       np       ^1.6       *0.9       np       -       *16.8       ^0.7         Counting number of plants within a defined area       ^1.2       **0.4       ^1.5       *1.2       *1.0       ^0.3       -       ^1.0         Other       *0.8       ^1.9       *1.2       *0.6       *0.8       np       np       -       ^1.0         ^       estimate has a relative standard error of 10% to less than 25% and should be used with caution       (b)       Agricultural businesses grazing stock on pastures and crops as a percentage of total agricultural businesses.        Agricultural businesses monitoring ground cover in paddocks as a percentage of agricultural businesses grazing stock on pastures and crops.         **       estimate has a relative standard error greater than 50% and is considered to unreliable for general use       (d)       Agricultural businesses with a minimum target for ground cover in paddocks as a percentage of agricultural businesses monitoring ground cover in paddocks.          nil or rounded to zero (including null cells)       as a percentage of agricultural businesses monitoring ground cover in paddocks.         (a)       Includes ACT.       Main method for monitoring ground cover.       (e)       Main method for monito	Visual estimates	96.2	np	95.6	97.0	97.9	97.2	np	96.4
Photo monitoring standards       *0.5       np       ^1.6       *0.9       np       -       *16.8       ^0.7         Counting number of plants within a defined area       ^1.2       **0.4       ^1.5       *1.2       *1.0       ^0.3       -       ^1.0         Other       *0.8       ^1.9       *1.2       *0.6       *0.8       np       np       ^       ^       1.2         ^       estimate has a relative standard error of 10% to less than 25% and should be used with caution       (b)       Agricultural businesses grazing stock on pastures and crops as a percentage of total agricultural businesses.         *       estimate has a relative standard error of 25% to 50% and should be used with caution       (c)       Agricultural businesses monitoring ground cover in paddocks as a percentage of agricultural businesses grazing stock on pastures and crops.         -       nil or rounded to zero (including null cells)       (d)       Agricultural businesses with a minimum target for ground cover in paddocks.         nelses otherwise indicated       (e)       Main method for monitoring ground cover as a percentage of agricultural businesses monitoring ground cover.	Step pointing	^ 1.2	*0.8	**0.1	*0.3	np	np	_	^ 0.7
Counting number of plants within a defined area       ^1.2       **0.4       ^1.5       *1.2       *1.0       ^0.3       -       ^1.0         Other       *0.8       ^1.9       *1.2       *0.6       *0.8       np       np       np       ^1.2         ^       estimate has a relative standard error of 10% to less than 25% and should be used with caution       (b)       Agricultural businesses grazing stock on pastures and crops as a percentage of total agricultural businesses.         *       estimate has a relative standard error of 25% to 50% and should be used with caution       (c)       Agricultural businesses monitoring ground cover in paddocks as a percentage of agricultural businesses grazing stock on pastures and crops and crops.         -       nil or rounded to zero (including null cells)       (d)       Agricultural businesses with a minimum target for ground cover in paddocks.         nuless otherwise indicated       (e)       Main method for monitoring ground cover as a percentage of agricultural businesses monitoring ground cover.	Photo monitoring standards	*0.5	np	^ 1.6	*0.9	np	_	*16.8	^ 0.7
Other       *0.8       ^1.9       *1.2       *0.6       *0.8       np       np       np       ^1.2         ^       estimate has a relative standard error of 10% to less than 25% and should be used with caution       (b)       Agricultural businesses grazing stock on pastures and crops as a percentage of total agricultural businesses.         *       estimate has a relative standard error of 25% to 50% and should be used with caution       (c)       Agricultural businesses monitoring ground cover in paddocks as a percentage of agricultural businesses grazing stock on pastures and crops and crops.         *       estimate has a relative standard error greater than 50% and is considered too unreliable for general use       (c)       Agricultural businesses with a minimum target for ground cover levels as a percentage of agricultural businesses monitoring ground cover in paddocks.         -       nil or rounded to zero (including null cells)       (d)       Agricultural businesses monitoring ground cover as a percentage of agricultural businesses monitoring ground cover as a percentage of agricultural businesses monitoring ground cover as a percentage of agricultural businesses monitoring ground cover.         (a)       Includes ACT.       Main method for monitoring ground cover.	Counting number of plants within a defined area	^ 1.2	**0.4	^ 1.5	*1.2	*1.0	^ 0.3	_	^ 1.0
<ul> <li>estimate has a relative standard error of 10% to less than 25% and should be used with caution</li> <li>estimate has a relative standard error of 25% to 50% and should be used with caution</li> <li>estimate has a relative standard error of 25% to 50% and should be used with caution</li> <li>estimate has a relative standard error greater than 50% and is considered too unreliable for general use</li> <li>nil or rounded to zero (including null cells)</li> <li>nop not available for publication but included in totals where applicable, unless otherwise indicated</li> <li>(a) Includes ACT.</li> <li>(b) Agricultural businesses grazing stock on pastures and crops as a percentage of total agricultural businesses.</li> <li>(c) Agricultural businesses monitoring ground cover in paddocks as a percentage of agricultural businesses with a minimum target for ground cover levels as a percentage of agricultural businesses monitoring ground cover as a percentage of agricultural businesses monitoring ground cover.</li> </ul>	Other	*0.8	^ 1.9	*1.2	*0.6	*0.8	np	np	^ 1.2
<ul> <li>estimate has a relative standard error of 10% to less than 25% and should be used with caution</li> <li>estimate has a relative standard error of 25% to 50% and should be used with caution</li> <li>estimate has a relative standard error of 25% to 50% and should be used with caution</li> <li>estimate has a relative standard error greater than 50% and is considered too unreliable for general use</li> <li>nil or rounded to zero (including null cells)</li> <li>not available for publication but included in totals where applicable, unless otherwise indicated</li> <li>Includes ACT.</li> <li>(b) Agricultural businesses grazing stock on pastures and crops as a percentage of total agricultural businesses.</li> <li>(c) Agricultural businesses monitoring ground cover in paddocks as a percentage of agricultural businesses with a minimum target for ground cover levels as a percentage of agricultural businesses monitoring ground cover in paddocks.</li> <li>(e) Main method for monitoring ground cover.</li> </ul>					• • • • • • •				• • • • • • •
<ul> <li>should be used with caution</li> <li>estimate has a relative standard error of 25% to 50% and should be used with caution</li> <li>estimate has a relative standard error greater than 50% and is considered too unreliable for general use</li> <li>mil or rounded to zero (including null cells)</li> <li>not available for publication but included in totals where applicable, unless otherwise indicated</li> <li>Includes ACT.</li> </ul>	^ estimate has a relative standard error of 10% to less that	an 25% and	(b)	Agricultural b	ousinesses g	razing stock	on pasture	es and crop	os as a
<ul> <li>estimate has a relative standard error of 25% to 50% and should be used with caution</li> <li>estimate has a relative standard error greater than 50% and is considered too unreliable for general use</li> <li>nil or rounded to zero (including null cells)</li> <li>not available for publication but included in totals where applicable, unless otherwise indicated</li> <li>(c) Agricultural businesses monitoring ground cover in paddocks as a percentage of agricultural businesses with a minimum target for ground cover levels as a percentage of agricultural businesses monitoring ground cover in paddocks.</li> <li>(d) Agricultural businesses with a minimum target for ground cover in paddocks.</li> <li>(e) Main method for monitoring ground cover as a percentage of agricultural businesses monitoring ground cover.</li> </ul>	should be used with caution			percentage o	of total agric	ultural busin	esses.		
<ul> <li>used with caution</li> <li>estimate has a relative standard error greater than 50% and is considered too unreliable for general use</li> <li>nil or rounded to zero (including null cells)</li> <li>not available for publication but included in totals where applicable, unless otherwise indicated</li> <li>(a) Includes ACT.</li> </ul>	* estimate has a relative standard error of 25% to 50% and	nd should be	(c)	Agricultural b	ousinesses r	nonitoring gr	ound cover	r in paddoc	ks as a
<ul> <li>** estimate has a relative standard error greater than 50% and is considered too unreliable for general use</li> <li>nil or rounded to zero (including null cells)</li> <li>not available for publication but included in totals where applicable, unless otherwise indicated</li> <li>(a) Includes ACT.</li> <li>(b) Agricultural businesses with a minimum target for ground cover levels as a percentage of agricultural businesses monitoring ground cover as a percentage of agricultural businesses monitoring ground cover.</li> </ul>	used with caution			percentage o	of agricultura	al businesses	s grazing st	ock on pas	tures and
<ul> <li>considered too unreliable for general use</li> <li>nil or rounded to zero (including null cells)</li> <li>not available for publication but included in totals where applicable, unless otherwise indicated</li> <li>(d) Agricultural businesses with a minimum target for ground cover levels as a percentage of agricultural businesses monitoring ground cover in paddocks.</li> <li>(e) Main method for monitoring ground cover as a percentage of agricultural businesses monitoring ground cover.</li> </ul>	** estimate has a relative standard error greater than 50%	and is		crops.					
<ul> <li>nil or rounded to zero (including null cells)</li> <li>not available for publication but included in totals where applicable, unless otherwise indicated</li> <li>(a) Includes ACT.</li> <li>as a percentage of agricultural businesses monitoring ground cover as a percentage of agricultural businesses monitoring ground cover.</li> </ul>	considered too unreliable for general use		(d)	Agricultural b	ousinesses v	/ith a minim	um target f	or ground	cover levels
<ul> <li>np not available for publication but included in totals where applicable, unless otherwise indicated</li> <li>(a) Includes ACT.</li> <li>paddocks.</li> <li>(b) Main method for monitoring ground cover as a percentage of agricultural businesses monitoring ground cover.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>nil or rounded to zero (including null cells)</li> </ul>			as a percent	age of agric	ultural busin	esses mon	itoring grou	and cover in
unless otherwise indicated       (e)       Main method for monitoring ground cover as a percentage of agricultural businesses monitoring ground cover.	np not available for publication but included in totals where	applicable.		paddocks.				50	
(a) Includes ACT. agricultural businesses monitoring ground cover.	unless otherwise indicated	,	(e)	Main method	d for monito	ring ground	cover as a	percentage	e of
	(a) Includes ACT.		. /	agricultural b	ousinesses n	nonitoring gr	ound cover	r.	

. . . . . . . . . . . . .

	NSW(a)	Vic.	Qld	SA	WA	Tas.	NT	Aust.
	AGRIC	ULTURAL	BUSINE	ESSES				
Agricultural businesses (no.)	44 521	34 177	29 121	14 996	13 084	4 200	605	140 704
use (no.) Agricultural businesses reporting fertiliser	25 816	23 186	12 896	11 081	10 365	3 119	346	86 808
use (%)	58.0	67.8	44.3	73.9	79.2	74.3	57.2	61.7
TYPES OF FERTILISER USED (%)(b)(c)								
Urea	24.5	28.1	50.1	31.3	39.4	36.9	^ 25.8	32.4
Ammonium sulphate	4.4	^ 3.3	^ 5.8	^ 5.7	13.7	^ 1.5	*5.0	5.5
Urea ammonium nitrate	^ 2.8	^ 2.3	^ 2.1	np	12.7	np	**4.5	4.0
Anhydrous ammonia	^ 1.9	**0.1	^ 3.2	np	*0.5	np	_	1.1
Single superphosphate	35.5	44.8	7.4	23.9	32.4	54.2	*14.4	32.5
Double or triple superphosphate	9.4	9.7	^ 3.1	13.3	11.2	^ 11.8	*12.3	9.3
Muriate of potash or sulphate of potash	^ 4.6	10.4	14.6	^ 3.8	22.9	20.7	*16.9	10.3
Potassium nitrate	3.4	^ 2.5	8.2	^ 4.9	^ 5.5	^ 4.9	^ 28.6	4.5
Ammonium phosphates	31.2	25.3	18.1	44.3	22.7	^ 8.7	*9.5	27.4
All other manufactured fertilisers	21.1	24.5	43.7	19.8	50.7	40.5	^ 52.6	29.6
Animal manure	13.0	10.6	14.2	15.1	^ 5.7	^ 6.8	*16.7	11.7
	• • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • •					

. . . . . . . . .

 estimate has a relative standard error of 10% to less than 25% and should be used with caution

 estimate has a relative standard error of 25% to 50% and should be used with caution

\*\* estimate has a relative standard error greater than 50% and is considered too unreliable for general use

— nil or rounded to zero (including null cells)

np not available for publication but included in totals where applicable, unless otherwise indicated

(a) Includes ACT.

(b) Number of agricultural businesses reporting a specific fertiliser use as a percentage of agricultural businesses reporting fertiliser use.

(c) Some agricultural businesses use more than one type of fertiliser. Therefore the sum of the types of fertiliser used may exceed the number of agricultural businesses reporting fertiliser use.

#### FERTILISER APPLICATION RATES, by State-2007-08

NSW(a) WA Vic. Qld SA Tas. NT Aust. Urea \*2.7 1 262.5 793.0 1 075.7 3 597.1 7 976.7 Area ('000 ha) 1 174.3 71.4 Amount ('000 t) 161.8 145.6 147.3 261.2 np \*0.4 816.2 np Application rate (t/ha) ^ 0.15 0.19 0.10 0.13 0.12 np 0.07 np Ammonium sulphate Area ('000 ha) ^ 167.7 ^ 264.1 ^ 47.2 ^ 209.2 890.8 ^ 0.9 ^ 0.7 1 580.6 Amount ('000 t) 24.8 ^ 22.4 ^ 6.9 ^ 19.5 92.2 ^ 0.2 ^ 0.1 166.2 ^ 0.09 Application rate (t/ha) 0.15 0.08 0.15 0.10 0.28 0.09 0.11 Urea ammonium nitrate Area ('000 ha) ^ 76.4 \*81.3 ^ 33.3 np 1 895.1 np \*\*2.1 2 182.2 ^ 6.3 Amount ('000 t) ^ 8.3 ^ 7.3 142.9 \*\*0.4 174.9 np np ^ 0.11 ^ 0.19 Application rate (t/ha) \*0.09 0.08 \*\*0.17 0.08 np np Anhydrous ammonia Area ('000 ha) 213.9 \*\*7.9 153.2 np \*27.2 403.7 np \*2.5 Amount ('000 t) 21.4 \*\*0.4 ^ 18.5 43.0 np np Application rate (t/ha) 0.10 0.06 ^ 0.12 ^ 0.09 0.11 np np Single superphosphate Area ('000 ha) 1 797.5 1 902.4 ^ 59.0 733.2 1 718.7 261.8 \*\*5.1 6 477.5 ^ 9.9 \*\*0.4 Amount ('000 t) 226.8 185.5 291.2 95.9 56.7 866.2 ^ 0.17 \*0.07 Application rate (t/ha) 0.13 0.15 0.13 0.11 0.22 0.13 Double or triple superphosphate ^ 17.6 Area ('000 ha) \*\*3.7 740.9 ^ 61.1 ^ 691.1 507.6 640.2 2 662.2 Amount ('000 t) 54.8 57.1 \*5.5 ^ 46.1 49.2 ^ 4.7 \*0.5 217.9 ^ 0.09 Application rate (t/ha) \*\*0.12 0.07 0.11 0.07 0.08 0.27 0.08 Muriate or sulphate of potash ^ 45.0 Area ('000 ha) ^ 295.5 128.5 ^ 41.0 1 336.8 ^ 54.1 \*1.0 1 901.9 Amount ('000 t) ^ 7.7 39.4 23.2 ^ 7.0 ^ 83.3 ^ 8.1 \*0.1 168.8 ^ 0.15 ^ 0.17 Application rate (t/ha) 0.13 0.18 0.17 0.06 ^ 0.10 0.09 Potassium nitrate Area ('000 ha) ^ 21.2 ^ 57.7 ^ 46.8 ^ 28.8 \*89.4 \*5.0 ^ 2.3 ^ 251.2 Amount ('000 t) ^ 2.9 ^ 9.3 7.7 ^ 3.6 ^ 6.5 \*0.5 \*0.3 30.8 ^ 0.16 ^ 0.07 Application rate (t/ha) 0.14 ^ 0.17 0.12 \*0.09 ^ 0.13 0.12 Ammonium phosphates Area ('000 ha) 3 742.0 2 400.7 519.7 3 131.7 ^ 13.2 ^ 1.5 12 637.9 2 829.2 ^ 3.4 Amount ('000 t) 243.8 185.2 30.8 190.2 \*0.1 875.2 221.8 Application rate (t/ha) 0.07 0.08 0.06 0.07 0.07 0.26 ^ 0.10 0.07 All other manufactured fertilisers Area ('000 ha) 769.2 896.8 476.6 572.0 3 929.6 133.8 \*15.9 6 793.8 Amount ('000 t) ^ 113.9 191.3 161.1 60.1 404.4 42.3 \*3.8 977.0 ^ 0.15 ^ 0.24 Application rate (t/ha) 0.21 0.34 0.11 0.10 0.32 0.14 Animal manure ^ 117.4 ^ 78.7 ^ 6.2 ^ 183.7 Area ('000 ha) 211.5 115.9 \*4.1 717.5 ^ 761.7 ^ 412.0 ^ 771.0 ^ 177.0 ^ 142.1 ^ 18.5 \*\*4.1 Amount ('000 t) 2 286.4 Application rate (t/ha) 3.60 ^ 2.24 ^ 6.65 ^ 1.51 ^ 1.80 ^ 1.00 3.00 3.19 estimate has a relative standard error of 10% to less than

25% and should be used with caution

— nil or rounded to zero (including null cells)

np not available for publication but included in totals where applicable, unless otherwise indicated

estimate has a relative standard error of 25% to 50% and should be used with caution

(a) Includes ACT.

estimate has a relative standard error greater than 50% and \*\* is considered too unreliable for general use

# SOIL ACIDITY MANAGEMENT, by State-2007-08

	NSW(a)	Vic.	Qld	SA	WA	Tas.	NT	Aust.
Α	GRICULTUR	AL BUS	SINESSE	S				
Agricultural businesses (no.)	44 521	34 177	29 121	14 996	13 084	4 200	605	140 704
(no.)	6 756	7 268	3 317	1 233	3 562	1 374	^ 79	23 588
SO	IL ACIDITY	MANAG	EMENT (	(%)				
Undertook activities to prevent or manage soil								
acidity(b)	15.2	21.3	11.4	8.2	27.2	32.7	^ 13.1	16.8
ACTIVITIES TO PI	REVENT OR	MANA	GE SOIL	ACIDITY	(%)(c)(d)			
Applied lime	71.9	86.3	57.9	np	84.5	71.3	np	75.9
Applied dolomite	^ 6.7	^ 5.6	^ 18.4	np	^ 10.7	41.4	np	10.7
Planted acid tolerant crops/pastures	^ 11.9	*2.6	*5.4	**4.1	*2.4	_	_	5.6
Changed fertiliser type	16.0	^ 13.4	^ 22.1	^ 23.4	^ 9.5	np	np	14.8
Other	^ 10.4	^ 4.7	^ 14.1	^ 12.4	^ 5.7	np	np	8.1
^ estimate has a relative standard error of 10% to less th	nan 25% and	(b)	Number of a	gricultural bu	sinesses unde	rtaking act	ivities to pr	revent or
should be used with caution			manage soil	acidity as a p	percentage of	all agriculti	ural busines	sses.
* estimate has a relative standard error of 25% to 50% a	and should be	(c)	) Number of agricultural businesses undertaking specific soil acidity					
used with caution			managemen	t activities as	a percentage	of agricult	ural busine	sses
** estimate has a relative standard error greater than 50%	% and is		undertaking	soil acidity m	anagement ad	tivities.		
considered too unreliable for general use		(d)	Some agricu	Itural busines	ses undertake	e more tha	n one soil a	acidity
<ul> <li>nil or rounded to zero (including null cells)</li> </ul>			managemen	t activity. The	refore the sur	n of the so	il acidity ma	anagement
np not available for publication but included in totals when	e applicable,		activities ma	y exceed the	number of ag	ricultural b	usinesses r	eporting
unless otherwise indicated			soil acidity m	nanagement.	0			
				-				

(a) Includes ACT.

### EXPLANATORY NOTES

INTRODUCTION	<ol> <li>Changes to the ABS Agricultural survey program for 2007–08 resulted in the annual Agricultural Survey being combined with a user–funded benchmark survey of land management practices by agricultural businesses, and a survey on management responses to adverse seasonal conditions experienced by affected agricultural businesses. The combined survey was the Agricultural Resource Management Survey (ARMS). The survey was designed to enable the benchmarking of several key aspects of the Caring for our Country program, an initiative of the Australian Government.</li> <li>This publication presents estimates from the 2007–08 ARMS. It contains detailed statistics at the national and state/territory levels, on the major agricultural activities undertaken, land use, and key land management practices. Additional datacubes at national, state/territory and NRM region levels provide a more detailed picture of land management and farming in Australia. These datacubes can be accessed via the Downloads tab of <i>Land Management and Farming in Australia, 2007–08</i> (cat. no. 4627.0).</li> <li>A reduced range of commodity items was collected from the 2007–08 ARMS in comparison to surveys and censuses of previous years. As a result, care must be taken when comparing estimates over time for Australia, state/territory and regional levels.</li> </ol>
GENERAL	<ul><li>More information is available upon request.</li><li>4 Where figures for individual states/territories have been suppressed for reasons of confidentiality, they have been included in relevant national totals. In addition, some categories have been combined, for example, combining Australian Capital Territory data with New South Wales data.</li></ul>
	<b>5</b> Where figures have been rounded, discrepancies may occur between sums of the component items and totals.
	<b>6</b> For some items, multiple responses are possible and thus totals are not necessarily the sum of the component items. For example, a respondent could perform more than one land management practice on their holding.
SCOPE AND COVERAGE	7 The scope of the 2007–08 ARMS was all agricultural businesses recorded on the ABS' Business Register (ABSBR) above a minimum size cut–off. The ABSBR is based on the Australian Business Register (ABR) which is administered and maintained by the Australian Taxation Office (ATO).
	<b>8</b> A minimum cut–off of \$5,000 was applied to determine whether a business was in–scope for the 2007–08 ARMS. The measure of size was based on the ABS' Estimated Value of Agricultural Operations (EVAO) or a derived value based on Business Activity Statement (BAS) turnover.
	<b>9</b> While the ABSBR does not include all agricultural businesses in Australia, it provides improved coverage from the former ABS–maintained Agricultural Survey frame as most businesses and organisations in Australia need to obtain an Australian Business Number (ABN) from the ATO for their business operations. The ABR–based register is also more up–to–date as it excludes agricultural businesses with cancelled ABNs and incorporates regularly updated information on agricultural businesses from the ATO.
	<b>10</b> For the 2007–08 ARMS, a sample of approximately 33,000 agricultural businesses was selected from an in–scope population of approximately 150,000 agricultural businesses.
RESPONSE RATE	<b>11</b> The response rate for 2007–08 ARMS was 87.4%
RELIABILITY OF DATA	<b>12</b> The estimates in this publication are subject to sampling and non–sampling errors.

#### **EXPLANATORY** NOTES continued

#### SAMPLING ERRORS

**13** The estimates in this publication are based on information obtained from respondents to the ARMS for the year ended 30 June 2008 and are subject to sampling variability. That is, estimates may differ from figures that would be produced if all agricultural businesses had been included in the survey. One measure of the likely difference is given by the standard error (SE), which indicates the extent to which an estimate might vary by chance when not all units have responded, i.e. when a 'sample' of responses only is obtained. There are about two chances in three that a 'sample' estimate will differ by less than one SE from the figure that would have been obtained if all units had responded, and about nineteen chances in twenty that the difference will be less than two SEs.

**14** In this publication, 'sampling' variability of the estimates is measured by the relative standard error (RSE) which is obtained by expressing the RSE as a percentage of the estimates to which it refers.

**15** Most published estimates have RSEs less than 5%. Where the RSE of an estimate included in this publication falls in the range of 10% to less than 25%, it has been annotated with the symbol '^' indicating that the estimate should be used with caution as it is subject to sampling variability too high for some purposes. Where the RSE of an estimate is 25% to 50%, it has been annotated with the symbol '\*', indicating that the estimate should be used with caution as it is subject to sampling variability too high for some purposes. Where the RSE of an estimate should be used with caution as it is subject to sampling variability too high for most practical purposes. Where the RSE of an estimate exceeds 50%, it has been annotated with the symbol '\*\*', indicating that the sampling variability causes the estimate to be considered too unreliable for general use. Separate indication of the RSEs of all estimates is available on request.

**16** The following table contains estimates of RSEs for a selection of the statistics presented in this publication:

	Aust.	NSW(a)	Vic.	Qld	SA	WA	Tas.	NT
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Reporting fertiliser use (no. of businesses)	0.8	1.6	1.8	2.1	2.2	1.9	3.2	8.7
Grazing stock in pastures and/or crops (no. of businesses)	0.6	0.9	1.3	1.3	1.9	1.7	2.3	7.9
Monitoring ground cover in paddocks (no. of businesses)	1.0	1.5	2.4	2.0	3.0	2.8	4.8	12.4
Minimum target for ground cover levels (no. of businesses)	1.5	2.4	4.1	3.0	4.8	4.7	8.0	25.7
Native vegetation on holding (no. of businesses)	0.8	1.4	2.4	1.5	2.5	2.0	3.8	6.8
Wetlands on holding (no. of businesses)	2.9	5.7	6.8	6.2	7.4	6.5	12.4	14.5
Rivers or creeks on holding (no. of businesses)	1.0	1.5	2.7	1.8	3.9	2.7	3.4	10.4
Preparing land for crops and/or pastures (no. of businesses)	0.9	1.6	2.2	2.1	2.4	2.2	4.5	14.6
Stubble left intact (no cultivation) - ('000 ha)	1.8	3.6	6.5	3.3	4.5	2.8	10.5	71.9
Total area of stubble or trash ('000 ha)	1.2	2.4	3.7	2.4	3.3	2.2	4.6	55.8
Fallow land - less than 3 months ('000 ha)	5.1	7.8	11.4	7.9	16.4	10.7	6.5	35.9
Fallow land - 3 to 9 months ('000 ha)	1.4	2.6	4.1	3.1	3.2	2.3	6.7	56.7
Fallow land - more than 9 months ('000 ha)	4.2	7.2	23.2	5.0	19.4	8.7	16.5	35.5

#### RELATIVE STANDARD ERRORS OF SELECTED ESTIMATES, by State-2007-08

(a) Includes ACT.

NON-SAMPLING ERRORS

**17** Errors other than those due to sampling may occur because of deficiencies in the list of units from which the sample was selected, non–response, and errors in reporting by providers. Inaccuracies of this kind are referred to as non–sampling error, which may occur in any collection, whether it be a census or a sample. Every effort has been made to reduce non–sampling error to a minimum by careful design and testing of questionnaires, operating procedures and systems used to compile the statistics.

RELATED PUBLICATIONS

**18** A range of environmental and agricultural publications are produced by the ABS, including:

Agricultural Commodities, Australia (cat. no. 7121.0)

### **EXPLANATORY NOTES** *continued*

RELATED PUBLICATIONS continued	<ul> <li>Farm Management and Climate (cat. no. 4625.0)</li> <li>Natural Resource Management on Australian Farms (cat. no. 4620.0)</li> <li>Principal Agricultural Commodities, Australia, Preliminary (cat. no. 7111.0)</li> <li>Water use on Australian Farms (cat. no. 4618.0)</li> </ul>
	<b>19</b> For more information please refer to the ABS web site <http: www.abs.gov.au="">. The ABS also issues a daily Release Advice on the web site which details products to be released in the week ahead. All ABS publications are available free of charge from the ABS website.</http:>
ABS DATA AVAILABLE ON REQUEST	<b>20</b> As well as the statistics included in this and related publications, the ABS may have other relevant data available on request. Inquiries should be made to the National Information and Referral Service on 1300 135 070.
GENERAL ACKNOWLEDGMENT	<b>21</b> ABS publications draw extensively on information provided freely by individuals, businesses, governments and other organisations. Their continued cooperation is very much appreciated. Without it, the wide range of statistics published by the ABS would not be available. Information received by the ABS is treated in strict confidence, as required by the <i>Census and Statistics Act 1905</i> .
ABBREVIATIONS	'000thousandABSAustralian Bureau of StatisticsACTAustralian Capital TerritoryAust.AustraliahahectaremillionmillionnumbernumberNRMnatural resource managementNSWNew South WalesNTNorthern TerritoryQldQueenslandRSErelative standard errorSASouth AustraliaSEstandard errorTas.Tasmania
	Vic. Victoria WA Western Australia

![](_page_24_Figure_1.jpeg)

\*Numbers used are NRM codes.

. . . . .

Source: Department of the Environment, Water, Heritage and the Arts - 2008.

# FOR MORE INFORMATION .

INTERNET	www.abs.gov.au	the ABS website is the best place for
	data from our publ	ications and information about the ABS.

#### INFORMATION AND REFERRAL SERVICE

	Our consultants can help you access the full range of information published by the ABS that is available free of charge from our website. Information tailored to your needs can also be requested as a 'user pays' service. Specialists are on hand to help you with analytical or methodological advice.
PHONE	1300 135 070
EMAIL	client.services@abs.gov.au
FAX	1300 135 211
POST	Client Services, ABS, GPO Box 796, Sydney NSW 2001

# FREE ACCESS TO STATISTICS

All statistics on the ABS website can be downloaded free of charge.

WEB ADDRESS www.abs.gov.au

© Commonwealth of Australia 2009 Produced by the Australian Bureau of Statistics